



DOI:10.29013/ESR-25-9.10-41-43



TRANSPARENT FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY: INTEGRATING GOVERNANCE INTEGRITY INTO GREEN ECONOMIC REFORM

Elman Azizov 1

¹ Azerbaijan State Institute of Economics.
Master of Public Administration (MPA), John Jay College of Criminal Justice,
City University of New York, USA. Economics and Finance Officer,
United Nations (2014–2024) USA

Cite: Azizov E. (2025). Transparent Fiscal Sustainability: Integrating Governance Integrity into Green Economic Reform. European Science Review 2025, No 9–10. https://doi.org/10.29013/ESR-25-9.10-41-43

Abstract

Fiscal systems shape not only budgets but also public confidence. This article presents the Transparent Fiscal Sustainability (TFS) framework – a three-pillar model linking ethics, oversight, and participation to credible green budgeting. Governments that disclose data, verify results, and invite citizens into decision-making tend to manage debt and climate commitments more effectively. Drawing on recent IMF, OECD, and ADB materials, the paper outlines measurable indicators and small, practical tools that embed integrity in fiscal operations. In short, transparency keeps policy believable and reform sustainable.

Keywords: fiscal sustainability; integrity; transparency; green reform; accountability; governance

Introduction

Every national budget now carries an environmental story. Whether it is a line for renewable energy or a new tax on emissions, fiscal choices define how seriously a government treats sustainability. Yet public attention moves quickly; promises fade if numbers are hard to trace. In 2023, for example, Malaysia's Treasury posted its first climate-budget table – simple, but it drew more attention than any speech.

The idea behind Transparent Fiscal Sustainability (TFS) is that credibility grows from visibility. Fiscal ethics, once seen as an internal discipline, has become a form of infrastructure. During my review of region-

al practices, I noticed that systems working best share one trait: people can check them. Openness changes tone – it turns political claims into administrative habits.

Method

This study follows a comparative policy approach rather than formal econometrics. I reviewed IMF Fiscal Transparency Evaluations (2024), OECD integrity reports (2023), and Asian Development Bank notes on climate budgeting. Each source was coded for three variables: disclosure coverage, audit follow-up, and citizen-feedback access. I also compared a few national portals – Indonesia's

open-budget site, Thailand's green-bond tracker – to see what information appears first and what remains hidden.

Instead of testing hypotheses, I looked for patterns of behavior. When ministries publish project data early, trust rises even before new laws appear. That observation guided the design of the TFS framework.

Transparent Fiscal Sustainability Framework

The framework has three connected pillars that work as feedback loops.

1. Ethics and Transparency Visibility comes first. Governments should issue a Green Budget Statement listing all climate-related taxes and expenditures, and update data quarterly. Even rough spreadsheets help. People value timing over polish.

- 2. Oversight and Accountability Audit institutions need a clear climate mandate. Checking legality is not enough; reports must track whether green spending actually reduces risk. Integrity-risk maps and follow-up tables show if recommendations matter. As one auditor in Bangkok noted during a workshop, "numbers mean little if no one circles back."
- 3. Participation and Feedback Public participation turns budgets into dialogue. Digital consultations, short town-hall sessions, or comment boxes on finance ministry portals all count. The Global Initiative for Fiscal Transparency (GIFT) recommends recording not only how many people respond but how quickly the agency replies.

Table 1. Transparent Fiscal Sustainability (TFS) Framework: Pillars and Indicators

Pillar	Main Indicators	Purpose
Ethics & Transparency	Climate-budget disclosure ratio; update frequency	Reveal visibility of green spending
Oversight & Accountability	Audit-coverage rate; share of acted-upon findings	Measure institutional discipline
Participation & Feedback	Consultation count: average response time	Track engagement and responsiveness

Discussion

Applying TFS shifts fiscal management from paperwork to communication. Transparency converts environmental finance into something citizens can verify. Oversight gives those numbers weight. Participation keeps both accountable.

In practice, finance ministries can insert transparency metrics into budget circulars; audit offices can align review schedules with climate programs; civic groups can compare official data with field evidence. While examining these examples, I realized that most integrity gaps are procedural, not ideological – often a missing upload, not a missing law. Fixing them costs less than postponing them.

Conclusion

Sustainable finance depends on credibility more than complexity. Publishing

numbers, checking outcomes, and inviting comment may sound routine, yet they are what give budgets moral weight. The Transparent Fiscal Sustainability framework distills that logic into three steps: disclose, verify, engage. Governments that follow this rhythm align fiscal responsibility with public ethics. And once transparency becomes a habit, not a headline, it quietly rebuilds trust.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the professional insights, mentorship, and institutional support gained during service as an Economics and Finance Officer at the United Nations (2014–2024). Any views expressed here are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views of the United Nations or its member states.

References

- Asian Development Bank. (2023). Green finance strategies for a resilient future. Manila, Philippines: ADB.
- International Monetary Fund. (2024). Fiscal transparency and climate governance in emerging Asia. Washington, DC: IMF.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). Public integrity indicators and green budgeting frameworks. Paris, France: OECD Publishing.
- Transparency International. (2024). Integrity in climate finance: Global trends and risks. Berlin, Germany: Transparency International.
- United Nations Development Programme. (2024). Building accountable institutions for sustainable development. New York, NY: UNDP.
- World Bank. (2024). Fiscal systems and environmental accountability. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

submitted 12.10.2025; accepted for publication 26.10.2025; published 27.11.2025 © Azizov E.

Contact: azizovelman@gmail.com