European Science Review 2025,
No 7–8
ISSN 2310-5577

PREMIER
Publishing
ppublishing.org

Section 2. Economics and Management

DOI:10.29013/ESR-25-7.8-15-20

THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF EXPORT MARKETS ON SMALL AND MEDIUM-SIZED ENTERPRISES (SMEs)

Abdukahhorov Azizbek Abdullo o'gli [1]

[1] Independent researcher at Tashkent State University of Economics

---

Cite: *Abdukahhorov, A.A. (2025). The economic impact of export markets on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). European Science Review 2025, No 7–8.* https://doi.org/10.29013/ESR-25-7.8-15-20

Abstract

This study explores the economic implications of engaging in export markets for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Drawing on empirical data from various countries, including Vietnam, Turkey, Uzbekistan, South Korea, and Poland, the research examines how export participation affects SME growth, productivity, employment, and survival. It also highlights key obstacles faced by SMEs in international markets. The findings support the assertion that exporting significantly enhances SME performance, yet access barriers and capability constraints remain. Policy recommendations are offered to support SME internationalization through targeted reforms, trade facilitation, and financial instruments.

Keywords: *Export markets, SMEs, productivity, trade barriers, firm growth, competitiveness*

Introduction

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) account for the majority of businesses and employment globally. According to the International Trade Centre (2022), SMEs represent more than 95% of registered firms and employ over 60% of the global workforce. In developing countries, their contribution to GDP ranges from 25% to 40%. Despite their critical economic role, SMEs often operate in local markets with limited exposure to global value chains.

The globalized trade environment provides a unique opportunity for SMEs to grow through access to foreign demand. Exporting allows SMEs to scale operations, enhance learning and innovation, diversify revenue, and reduce dependency on domestic markets. However, many SMEs struggle to engage in exporting due to financial constraints, complex regulations, and lack of information.

This research analyzes the tangible benefits and persistent challenges of SME export participation. It focuses on countries with varied trade and development profiles to identify generalizable and region-specific patterns in export impact.

Literature Review

The literature on SMEs and exports reveals a strong consensus that export participation is positively correlated with firm performance. Several frameworks and models have been used to explain this relationship:

Melitz (2003) argues that only the most productive firms enter export markets, a phenomenon known as "self-selection (Melitz, M.J., 2003)" Bernard and Jensen (1999) support this by showing that exporters outperform non-exporters in terms of productivity, wages, and size even before they start exporting (Bernard, A.B., & Jensen, J.B., 1999). However, studies such as De Loecker (2007) suggest that firms also learn from exporting by gaining access to new knowledge and technologies (De Loecker, J., 2007).

Wagner (2012) reviewed over 50 firm-level studies and concluded that exporters show superior performance across various indicators (Wagner, J., 2012). This is echoed by Van Biesebroeck (2005), who found that African exporters experienced higher productivity due to scale economies and global competition exposure (Van Biesebroeck, J., 2005).

Despite the benefits, OECD (2018) points out that SMEs face considerable entry costs when exporting. These include high compliance costs, certification procedures, and lack of logistics capabilities (OECD., 2018). The World Bank (2021) emphasizes the need for targeted support mechanisms, especially for SMEs in developing regions (World Bank, 2021).

In Vietnam, Nguyen et al. (2020) documented substantial productivity gains among exporting SMEs, especially in the manufacturing sector (Nguyen, T. A., et al., 2020).

Polish SMEs experienced export-driven employment growth but faced difficulties adapting to EU regulatory standards (Kowalski, P., 2017).

Uzbek SMEs have recently expanded export activity due to state reforms, but challenges in trade finance and infrastructure persist (ADB., 2023).

Methodology

This study utilizes a comparative case study and descriptive statistical analysis approach based on secondary data. The methodology follows these key steps:

Sources: World Bank Enterprise Surveys (2022), OECD SME Outlook (2022), ITC SME Competitiveness Reports, national statistics offices.

Period: 2010–2022.

Selected Countries: Vietnam, Turkey, Uzbekistan, South Korea, and Poland.

The following firm-level economic indicators were compared for exporting and non-exporting SMEs:

Revenue Growth (% annual average)

Productivity Gains (% value added per worker)

Employment Growth (% change in staff count)

Export Market Duration (survival rate of exporting SMEs after 3+ years)

Results and Analysis

Across all surveyed countries, SMEs that export demonstrated higher revenue growth rates compared to non-exporters. For instance:

Vietnamese exporting SMEs recorded 13.5% average annual growth over five years, significantly outperforming non-exporting counterparts at 6.1%. This growth has been attributed to favorable trade policies, access to ASEAN markets, and active government export promotion programs.

South Korean SMEs showed a similar trend, averaging 15.4% annual growth. Government-led initiatives such as the "K-Sure Export Insurance" and subsidized participation in international fairs contributed to this rise.

Uzbekistan's exporting SMEs, though at a lower base, achieved 9.1% growth, compared to 4.2% for domestic-only firms. This improvement correlates with recent liberalization policies, improved customs procedures, and trade agreements with CIS countries and China.

These findings suggest that exporting creates a multiplier effect – international demand stimulates production, leads to higher unit sales, and allows businesses to reinvest profits into capacity expansion.

Export exposure typically requires firms to meet international standards, comply with stricter quality controls, and integrate better technologies. These requirements lead to increased workforce efficiency, optimized processes, and improved capital utilization.

Table 1. *Exporting SMEs Growth (UNCTAD, 2021)*

Country	Exporting SMEs Growth (%)	Productivity Gain (%)	Top Barriers
Vietnam	13.5%	30%	Customs delays, finance
Turkey	10.2%	22%	Currency fluctuation
Uzbekistan	9.1%	25%	Infrastructure, awareness
South Korea	15.4%	35%	Regulatory burden
Poland	12.3%	28%	EU compliance standards

Table 2. *Resilience and Business Survival: Exporting as a Risk Management Tool*

Country	Survival Rate of Exporting SMEs (5 Years)	Non-Exporting SMEs
South Korea	72%	52%
Poland	70%	54%
Vietnam	66%	50%
Uzbekistan	65%	48%

A key insight is that productivity gains from exporting are not instantaneous. In most countries, the biggest improvements were reported 2–3 years after initial export activity, pointing to a learning-by-exporting dynamic.

Exporting SMEs also contribute more significantly to job creation than their non-exporting peers:

On average, exporting SMEs expanded employment by 18% over a five-year period, compared to 7% for non-exporters.

Sectors such as textile, electronics, food processing, and ICT saw the most significant employment growth due to strong foreign demand and global supply chain integration.

In Uzbekistan, the government's "Export-Oriented Industrialization" program led to the establishment of hundreds of export-linked jobs in free economic zones such as Navoi and Angren. Similarly, in Poland, SMEs in the furniture and automotive parts sector hired more workers after gaining access to Western European markets.

Moreover, exporting tends to increase employment quality, as firms are more likely to offer contracts, benefits, and skill training to meet global compliance standards.

Another key metric analyzed is the survival rate of exporting SMEs. Results indicate that firms engaging in exports are more likely to withstand economic shocks and persist in the long run:

South Korea and Poland showed the highest export SME survival rates, exceeding 70% over a five-year period.

In Vietnam and Uzbekistan, survival rates hovered around 65%, indicating moderate resilience improvements from export engagement.

Non-exporting SMEs, on the other hand, showed survival rates closer to 48–55%, suggesting greater vulnerability to market fluctuations and competition.

This outcome may stem from revenue diversification. Firms relying solely on domestic sales are more exposed to regional downturns, political instability, or consumer demand shifts. Exporting provides an insurance-like effect through market diversification.

Analyzing the performance trend reveals that:

Exporting SMEs have shown stronger recovery post-COVID-19, especially in digitalized and technology-adaptive sectors.

The growth gap between exporters and non-exporters widened after major trade agreements or policy reforms (e.g., Uzbekistan's customs reform in 2019 or Vietnam's EVFTA implementation in 2020; Enterprise Surveys, 2022).

Firms that combined product innovation and foreign expansion exhibited the most sustainable gains.

The analysis of constraints highlights non-price barriers as the dominant issue for SMEs. These include:

Information asymmetries: Lack of buyer databases, unclear compliance requirements, and no centralized trade facilitation portals disproportionately hurt SMEs.

Export finance: 58% of surveyed SMEs report lack of access to affordable export loans, insurance, or guarantees – especially acute in Uzbekistan and Turkey.

Logistics and customs: Weak border infrastructure, inconsistent tariff classification, and bribes at checkpoints reduce SME competitiveness.

A cost-benefit framework shows that initial fixed costs of export entry (certification, packaging, registration) can be equivalent to 10–15% of annual revenue for micro-SMEs. Without subsidies or pooled services (e.g., trade facilitation centers), this creates a structural exclusion.

Discussion

The evidence reaffirms the role of exports in enhancing SME performance. By tapping into global demand, firms are incentivized to modernize, increase efficiency, and adopt higher standards. These effects are more pronounced in manufacturing and ICT sectors due to scale potential and international competition.

Despite positive outcomes, the entry cost remains disproportionately high for SMEs, especially in countries with limited infrastructure or regulatory bottlenecks. Exporting SMEs in developing economies face additional burdens such as volatile exchange rates, limited export insurance, and weak logistics networks.

Countries with robust export promotion agencies, such as Vietnam and South Korea, outperform others in SME export sustainability. This supports the argument by Alvarez and Lopez (2005) that public policy plays a pivotal role in SME internationalization (Alvarez, R., & Lopez, R.A., 2005).

Table 3. *Comparative Country Performance Analysis*

Country	Exporting SME Growth	Productivity Gain	Job Growth	Resilience Index	Institutional Support Index
South Korea	High	Very High	High	Very Strong	Excellent (KOTRA, K-SURE)
Vietnam	High	High	High	Strong	Good (Trade Hub Model)
Uzbekistan	Moderate	Moderate–High	Moderate	Moderate	Improving (Customs Reform)
Turkey	Moderate	Low–Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Partial (Inflation Exposure)
Poland	High	High	High	Strong	Strong (EU Integration)

Source: Polish Agency for Enterprise Development (PARP), (2022); OECD-Turkey Joint Report (2021)

The resilience index reflects diversification, policy response, and export market continuity during crises.

The institutional support index captures the strength of national trade support programs (e.g., KOTRA in Korea, Trade Promotion Council in Vietnam, and Eximbank in Poland).

South Korea, Vietnam, and Poland demonstrate "high" SME growth due to mature export ecosystems, early-stage support programs, and access to diversified markets.

Uzbekistan and Turkey, although progressing, face institutional bottlenecks and export concentration, leading to only "moderate" SME export growth.

For example, Turkey's SME exports are overly reliant on a few sectors (textiles, machinery), making growth sensitive to global demand shifts.

Uzbekistan's recent reforms have unlocked new growth, but results are still stabilizing.

South Korea leads with "very high" productivity gain, attributed to intensive R&D policies, government co-financing of innovation, and mandatory exporter training. Poland and Vietnam also show strong productivity improvements, often linked to EU regulations and FTAs, which compel SMEs to adopt efficient production and quality control systems. Uzbekistan, with "moderate–high" gains, has benefited from imported capital goods and knowledge spillovers, especially in Free Economic Zones (FEZs), though technological adoption remains uneven. Turkey, constrained by macroeconomic volatility and weak linkages with R&D institutions, shows only marginal gains.

Employment effects mirror the growth trends, with Vietnam, South Korea, and Poland creating more jobs due to:

Expansion in labor-intensive sectors,

Incentives for hiring under export promotion laws,

Integrated vocational education tied to export clusters.

Uzbekistan and Turkey face labor market rigidities and skill mismatches. In Turkey, firms face high social security costs, while in Uzbekistan, skilled labor shortages in rural areas limit export scaling.

South Korea and Poland represent export policy maturity, where institutional architecture is deeply embedded in national development strategy. Vietnam demonstrates the effectiveness of coordinated decentralization, where sectoral export promotion works at provincial levels. Uzbekistan is at a turning point – strong government commitment and early reforms are promising, but success depends on full implementation and SME capacity building. Turkey must address macroeconomic volatility and institutional fragmentation to unlock higher SME export performance.

Many exporting SMEs benefited from increasing returns to scale, as foreign market access allowed firms to increase production without proportionate increases in costs. For instance, Polish SMEs in industrial machinery leveraged long-term contracts in Germany to double output with only a 40% increase in labor costs.

This supports endogenous growth theory's assertion that export market access acts as a technology accelerator and encourages human capital investment, both of which are key for long-term development.

Conclusion

This research demonstrates that engaging in export markets significantly improves the economic performance of SMEs. Exporting fosters revenue growth, productivity, employment, and survival, contributing to broader economic development. However, to fully realize this potential, targeted policies must address financial, institutional, and knowledge-based barriers. A holistic export support ecosystem is essential to unlock the transformative power of trade for SMEs, especially in developing countries.

References

Melitz, M. J. (2003). The impact of trade on intra-industry reallocations and aggregate industry productivity. Econometrica, – 71(6). – P. 1695–1725.

Bernard, A.B., & Jensen, J.B. (1999). Exceptional exporter performance: Cause, effect, or both? Journal of International Economics, – 47(1). – P. 1–25.

De Loecker, J. (2007). Do exports generate higher productivity? Journal of International Economics, – 73(1). – P. 69–98.

Wagner, J. (2012). International trade and firm performance: A survey of empirical studies since 2006. Review of World Economics, – 148(2). – P. 235–267.

Van Biesebroeck, J. (2005). Exporting raises productivity in sub-Saharan African manufacturing firms. Journal of International Economics, – 67(2). – P. 373–391.

OECD., (2018). SME and Entrepreneurship Outlook. Paris: OECD Publishing.
World Bank, (2021). Doing Business Report: Trading Across Borders. Washington, DC.
ITC. (2022). SME Competitiveness Outlook. Geneva: International Trade Centre.
Nguyen, T. A., et al. (2020). Exporting, productivity, and firm dynamics in Vietnam. Asian Economic Journal, –34(1). – P. 45–67.
Kowalski, P. (2017). SME internationalization in Central Europe. CEBRI Journal of Trade, – 24(4). – P. 102–117.
ADB. (2023). Uzbekistan SME Finance and Export Support Report. Manila: Asian Development Bank.
Alvarez, R., & Lopez, R. A., (2005). Exporting and performance: Evidence from Chilean plants. Canadian Journal of Economics, – 38(4). – P. 1384–1400.
UNCTAD. (2021). World Investment Report. United Nations.
Enterprise Surveys, (2022). World Bank Enterprise Surveys Data Portal.
Polish Agency for Enterprise Development (PARP) (2022). SME Sector in Poland: Structure, Performance, and EU Integration. Warsaw.
OECD-Turkey Joint Report (2021). Boosting Export Competitiveness and Innovation in Turkish SMEs.
Analyzes Turkey's SME ecosystem, barriers to export, and macroeconomic vulnerabilities.

submitted 05.07.2025;
accepted for publication 19.07.2025;
published 30.09.2025

Contact: mehrivoxidova@gmail.com