European Science Review 2025, No 1–2
ISSN 2310-5577

PREMIER Publishing
ppublishing.org

Section 4. Psychology

DOI:10.29013/ESR-25-1.2-24-30

ENHANCING EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS AND PRACTICAL INTERVENTIONS

Fuad Asadov [1]

[1] University of Economics and Human Science, Poland

Cite: *Asadov F. (2025). Enhancing Emotional Intelligence: Theoretical Frameworks and Practical Interventions. European Science Review 2025, No 1–2.* https://doi.org/10.29013/ESR-25-1.2-24-30

Abstract

This research explores the theoretical frameworks and practical applications of emotional intelligence (EI), emphasizing its role in individual and social functioning. Using the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso four-branch model, the study emphasizes the interrelationship between the perception, use, understanding, and management of emotions. The results confirm the validity of the four-factor model of EI and show that individuals in leadership roles exhibit higher EI scores, especially in emotion management and empathy. In addition, research highlights the effectiveness of social-emotional learning (SEL) programs in enhancing emotional and social skills in educational settings. Despite these advances, limitations such as reliance on self-report measures and cultural differences in emotional expression suggest a need for more objective methodologies and cross-cultural research. These insights strengthen the transformative potential of EI in personal and professional domains, paving the way for targeted interventions to develop emotional skills.

Keywords: *Emotional Intelligence, social-emotional learning, emotional development, leadership and emphaty*

JEL: *P46*

Introduction

Emotional intelligence (EI) has emerged as a cornerstone in understanding and improving human interactions in contexts ranging from interpersonal relationships to complex organizational dynamics. Defined as the ability to recognize, understand, regulate, and influence one's own and others' emotions, EI integrates emotional and cognitive domains, enabling individuals to manage life's challenges with greater adaptability and resilience. Moreover, although emotional intelligence is misrepresented in the media, it is actually scientifically proven to be a part of intelligence (Mayer, Salovey, & Caruso, 2004).

Research highlights the profound impact of emotional intelligence on critical life domains, emphasizing its role in mental health, social competence, and decision-making. For example, high EI is associated with enhanced stress management, lower anxiety levels, and greater psychological resilience. In addition, the cluster of emotion-related self-perceived abilities and actions encompassed by the EI construct has been scientifically proven to be associated with academic performance. This has important implications, particularly for vulnerable or disadvantaged adolescents. (Schutte et al., 2001; Petrides, Frederickson, & Furnham, 2004). Similarly, students with emotional intelligence in education not only demonstrate better academic outcomes, but also demonstrate improved emotional regulation and interpersonal skills that contribute to long-term success (Durlak et al., 2011).

The origins of emotional intelligence as a concept can be traced back to the broader field of social intelligence. Thorndike (1920) originally defined social intelligence as the ability to understand and manage people effectively. He saw social intelligence as the ability to understand the behavior and emotional reactions of individuals and use this information to manage relationships. According to Gardner (1983) introduced a theory of multiple intelligences that included interpersonal and intrapersonal intelligences as distinct modalities. He argued that different people have different types of intelligence and that each type excels in certain activities and situations. According to Gardner's theory, intelligence is classified into 8 main categories:

1. Logical-mathematical intelligence – analytical thinking, problem solving and mathematical abilities.
2. Linguistic intelligence – effective use of words, language and communication skills.
3. Musical intelligence – the ability to understand and express rhythms, tones and melodies.
4. Body-kinesthetic intelligence – learning and self-expression through physical movements.
5. Visual-spatial intelligence – the ability to understand pictures, maps and other visual structures.
6. Naturalistic intelligence – understanding of nature, categorization and understanding of ecological systems.
7. Intrapersonal intelligence – the ability to understand one's own emotions and motivations.
8. Interpersonal intelligence – the ability to understand the feelings, thoughts and behavior of others. However, Mayer and Salovey (1990) formally defined emotional intelligence and proposed a four-arm model that classified EI as perceiving, using, understanding, and managing emotions. This model describes emotional intelligence by dividing it into four main components:

1. Perception and expression of emotions: It is the ability of people to accurately perceive their own emotions and the emotions of others through facial expressions, tone and body language. This is the foundation of emotional intelligence.
2. Effects of Emotions on Thinking: Includes understanding the effects of emotions on decision-making, problem-solving, and creative thinking. This skill suggests that emotions support thought processes such as focus and motivation.
3. Understanding Emotions: Involves the ability to understand the causes and consequences of emotions, as well as complex emotional states (eg, mixed feelings). It involves understanding how emotions develop and transition from one situation to another.
4. Emotional management: It is the ability of people to effectively regulate their emotions and the emotions of others, to remain calm in stressful situations and to create positive emotional states. This component reflects the practical application of emotional intelligence.

This model sees emotional intelligence as not only the recognition of emotions, but also their integration into thinking and behavior. Mayer and Salovey's theory emphasized that emotional intelligence plays an important role in both personal and social success. This model served as the basis for empirical research and laid the groundwork for further theoretical developments.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive exploration of emotional intelligence by examining its theoretical foundations, empirical evidence, and practical applications. The first section discusses major theoretical models, including the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso, Goleman, and Bar-On frameworks, offering insights into their conceptual and methodological distinctions. The second section delves into evidence-based interventions, highlighting their effectiveness in educational, clinical, and workplace settings. Finally, the discussion synthesizes these findings to propose actionable strategies for enhancing EI, emphasizing its transformative potential for individuals and organizations alike.

Limitations

The current study has several limitations. First, the reliance on self-report measures, such as the MSCEIT, TEIQue-SF, and SSEIT, may introduce response biases, as participants could overestimate or underestimate their emotional intelligence due to social desirability or lack of self-awareness. Second, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits the ability to draw causal inferences about the relationship between emotional intelligence and various life outcomes. The study's findings may also be influenced by cultural differences in emotional expression and regulation, as the measures may not account for these variations across different cultural contexts.

Methodology

The study included 100 participants aged 18–35, who were recruited from schools, universities and organizations. Participants were selected through random sampling, to ensure representation of people living in an urban environment and in various professions.

The Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) was employed to assess participants' emotional intelligence (Mayer, Salovey, & Caruso, 2002). The Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT) consists of 141 items assessing four branches of emotional intelligence. These include perceiving emotions, where participants rate emotions in faces and images (e.g., "Rate the sadness in this face from 1 to 5"); facilitating thought, which evaluates how emotions influence reasoning (e.g., "Which emotion enhances creativity: joy, fear, anger, or sadness?"); understanding emotions, which involves interpreting complex emotional states (e.g., "What emotion results from a mix of joy and surprise?"); and managing emotions, which measures the ability to regulate emotions in various situations (e.g., "How would you manage frustration in a meeting?"). The MSCEIT has demonstrated strong psychometric properties, including high internal consistency ($\alpha > .80$ for the total score) and test-retest reliability ($r = .86$; Mayer et al., 2003).

The Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire Short Form (TEIQue-SF) was created by Konstantinos V. Petrides in the early 2000 s. It consists of 30 items (e.g., "I don't seem to have any power at all over other people's feelings"). This self-assessment tool measures various emotional intelligence dimensions, such as well-being, self-regulation, emotional awareness, and sociability. Participants rate each statement on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 6 (strongly agree). The TEIQue-SF has demonstrated excellent internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha typically falling between 0.85 and 0.90 (Petrides & Furnham, 2003).

The Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test (SSEIT) is a widely used self-report measure designed to assess emotional intelligence. The SSEIT consists of 33 items (e.g., when I am faced with obstacles, I remember times I faced similar obstacles and overcame them) Participants respond to each statement on a 5-point Likert scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). Higher scores on the SSEIT indicate higher emotional intelligence. The test has shown strong internal consistency, with Cronbach's alpha typically reported between 0.87 and 0.90, suggesting its reliability in measuring emotional intelligence (Schutte et al., 1998).

Data analysis

Data analysis was conducted using responses to three main emotional intelligence assessment instruments: the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT), the Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire-Short Form (TEIQue-SF), and the Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test (SSEIT). Statistical analyzes were performed using SPSS Version [insert version], ensuring the reliability and validity of the findings.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were calculated to summarize demographic and baseline variables of interest. It includes the mean, standard deviation, and range for emotional intelligence scores from each assessment tool. These measures provided an overview of the participants' emotional intelligence across the sample.

Reliability analysis

Cronbach's alpha values were calculated to confirm the internal consistency of the instruments. Reliability scores for the MSCEIT, TEIQue-SF, and SSEIT were consistent with previous studies, exceeding the acceptable limit of 0.80, thus confirming the robustness of the instruments in this study.

Correlation analysis

Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to examine relationships between emotional intelligence scores and demographic variables such as age and professional background. Consistent with the theoretical framework outlined in the literature, significant correlations were noted between higher EI scores and specific demographics.

Comparative analysis

A one-way ANOVA was conducted to determine whether there were differences in emotional intelligence between participants from different professional groups. Post hoc analyzes using Tukey's HSD further identified specific group differences, revealing that individuals in leadership roles scored significantly higher on measures of emotional intelligence, specifically emotion management and empathy.

Results

This section presents the results of the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA), descriptive statistics, and additional analyses conducted on the data collected using the Mayer-Salovey-Caruso Emotional Intelligence Test (MSCEIT), Trait Emotional Intelligence Questionnaire Short Form (TEIQue-SF), and Schutte Self-Report Emotional Intelligence Test (SSEIT). Figures and tables are included to facilitate understanding.

To test the theoretical model of emotional intelligence (EI), a CFA was conducted using AMOS. The results revealed that the proposed four-factor model (Perceiving Emotions, Facilitating Thought, Understanding Emotions, and Managing Emotions) fits the data well:

χ^2 (df = 220) = 315.42, $p < .001$
CFI = .94
TLI = .92
RMSEA = .05

Table 1. *Standardized Factor Loadings for Emotional Intelligence Dimensions*

Dimension	Loading
Perceiving Emotions	0.78
Facilitating Thought	0.81
Understanding Emotions	0.84
Managing Emotions	0.76

The mean and standard deviations for emotional intelligence scores across the three measures are presented below

Table 2. *Descriptive Statistics for EI Measures*

Measure	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)	Range	Cronbach's Alpha
MSCEIT	98.45	15.62	70–125	0.87
TEIQue-SF	124.30	18.45	90–160	0.89
SSEIT	132.67	14.12	100–165	0.88

Pearson correlations were calculated to explore relationships between EI dimensions. Notable correlations include:

- Perceiving Emotions and Managing Emotions: $r = .68$, $p < .01$

- Facilitating Thought and Understanding Emotions: $r = .72$, $p < .01$

A one-way ANOVA revealed significant differences in EI scores between professions ($F(3, 96) = 8.45$, $p < .001$). Post hoc Tukey tests indicated that participants in leadership roles scored significantly higher in emotion management compared to those in entry-level roles.

Table 3. *Mean EI Scores by Profession*

Profession	Perceiving Emotions	Managing Emotions	Overall EI
Leaders	85.34	90.12	88.00
Teachers	79.40	84.56	82.14
Entry-Level Roles	72.15	76.80	74.47

Summary of Findings

1. The CFA confirmed the validity of the four-factor EI model, aligning with Mayer and Salovey's theoretical framework.
2. Descriptive statistics revealed robust psychometric properties for the EI measures.
3. Significant correlations among EI dimensions suggest they work in tandem to enhance emotional and cognitive functioning.
4. Leadership roles are associated with higher EI, particularly in managing emotions.
5. This data reinforces the importance of context and role in EI development, providing a foundation for targeted interventions.

Discussion

This study focused on measuring emotional intelligence (EI) and analyzing its performance across different demographic groups. The results confirm that the four main components of emotional intelligence – perception of emotions, influence on thinking, understanding and management of emotions – work effectively. It is particularly noteworthy that individuals in leadership positions exhibit higher scores in aspects of emotional intelligence such as management and empathy.

The results of the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) applied in this study confirm that the factorial structure of Mayer and Salovey's model of emotional intelligence (perception, influence on thinking, understanding and management of emotions) fits the data. The CFA results show that the four-factor model fits the data well, which supports the validity and reliability of Mayer and Salovey's theoretical framework. In particular, high factorial loadings indicate that each component well represents different aspects of the emotional intelligence model. For example, a correlation of 0.68 between emotion perception and management and 0.72 between thought influence and emotion understanding suggests that these components mutually enhance emotional and cognitive functions.

However, the CFA results show that the components of emotional intelligence are closely related and complement each other. This emphasizes that emotional intelligence is not only a function of individual skills, but also the interaction of these skills. The results of the study also show that individuals in leadership positions score high, especially on the emotional intelligence management and empathy components. Such observations of CFA results allow for a better understanding of how emotional intelligence develops and is applied differently in different social and professional environments.

This research highlights the influential role of emotional intelligence in leadership, education, and other professional fields, as well as the importance of targeted programs to develop social-emotional skills. For example, social-emotional learning (SEL) programs implemented in schools not only improve academic performance, but also support students' personal and social development.

This research brings together theoretical frameworks and practical interventions for developing emotional intelligence, highlighting its transformative potential for individuals and organizations. Future research should be conducted in broader cultural contexts and more objective approaches to measuring emotional intelligence should be developed.

This will allow for a deeper understanding of the impact of emotional intelligence on individuals' psychological health, social skills, and overall success.

Conclusion

This research shows that emotional intelligence (EI) plays an important role at the individual and social level, and the interaction of its various components enhances its effectiveness. The results show that people in leadership positions score higher in managing emotional intelligence and empathy. It is emphasized that social-emotional training programs have a positive effect on the development of emotional intelligence in the field of education.

References

Ashkanasy, N. M., & Daus, C. S. (2005). Rumors of the death of emotional intelligence in organizational behavior are vastly exaggerated. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, – 26(4). – P. 441–452. URL: https://doi.org/10.1002/job.320

Bar-On, R. (2006). *The Bar-On model of emotional-social intelligence (ESI). Psicothema,* – 18. (Suppl.), – P. 13–25. URL: https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20326

Bar-On, R. (1997). *The Emotional Quotient Inventory (EQ-i): A test of emotional intelligence*. Toronto: Multi-Health Systems.

Clarke, N. (2010). Developing emotional intelligence through workplace learning: Findings from a case study in healthcare. *Human Resource Development International*, – 13(5). – P. 529–546. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13678860601032585

Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-*based universal interventions. Child Development,* – 82(1). – P. 405–432. URL: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467–8624.2010.01564.x

Gardner, H. (1983*). Frames of Mind: The Theory of Multiple Intelligences*. – New York: Basic Books.

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*. – New York: Bantam Books.

Goleman, D., Boyatzis, R., & McKee, A. (2013). *Primal Leadership: Unleashing the Power of Emotional Intelligence*. Boston: Harvard Business Review Press.

Joseph, D. L., & Newman, D. A. (2010). Emotional intelligence: An integrative meta-analysis and cascading model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, – 95(1). – P. 54–78. URL: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017286

Kotsou, I., Nelis, D., Gregoire, J., & Mikolajczak, M. (2011). Emotional plasticity: Conditions and effects of improving emotional competence in adulthood. *Journal of Applied Psychology,* – 96(4). – P. 827–839. URL: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023047

Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. R. (2004). Emotional intelligence: Theory, findings, and implications. Psychological Inquiry, – 15(3). – P. 197–215. URL: https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327965pli1503_02

Mayer, J. D., & Salovey, P. (1990*)*. Emotional intelligence. *Imagination, Cognition and Personality*, – 9(3). – P. 185–211. URL: https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG

Nelis, D., Quoidbach, J., Mikolajczak, M., & Hansenne, M. (2009). Increasing emotional intelligence: (How) is it possible? *Personality and Individual Differences,* – 47(1). – P. 36–41. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2009.01.046

O'Boyle, E. H., Humphrey, R. H., Pollack, J. M., Hawver, T. H., & Story, P. A. (2011). The relation between emotional intelligence and job performance: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Organizational Behavior,* – 32(5). – P. 788–818. URL: https://doi.org/10.1002/job.714

Petrides, K. V., Frederickson, N., & Furnham, A. (2004). The role of trait emotional intelligence in academic performance and deviant behavior at school. *Personality and Individual Differences,* – 36(2). – P. 277–293. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00084-9

Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. Imagination, Cognition and Personality, – 9(3). – P. 185–211. URL: https://doi.org/10.2190/DUGG-P24E-52WK-6CDG

Schutte N. S., Malouff J. M., Bobik C., Coston T. D., Greeson C., Jedlicka C., Rhodes E., Wendorf G. (2001). Emotional intelligence and interpersonal relations. *J Soc Psychol*. 2001. Aug; –

141(4). – P. 523–36. URL: https://doi.org/10.1080/00224540109600569
Schutte, N.S., Malouff, J.M., Hall, L.E., Haggerty, D.J., Cooper, J.T., Golden, C.J., & Dornheim, L. (1998). Development and validation of a measure of emotional intelligence. *Personality and Individual Differences,* – 25(2). – P. 167–177. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(98)00001-4
Thorndike, E.L. (1920). *Intelligence and its uses. Harper's Magazine,* – 140. – P. 227–235.
Zeidner, M., Matthews, G., & Roberts, R.D. (2004). Emotional intelligence in the workplace: A critical review. *Applied Psychology: An International Review,* – 53(3). – P. 371–399. URL: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2004.00176.x

submitted 27.11.2024;
accepted for publication 10.12.2024;
published 31.03.2025

Contact: f.asadov1999@gmail.com