The Austrian Journal of Technical and Natural Sciences, 2026 No 3–4
ISSN 2310-5607

PREMIER Publishing
ppublishing.org

DOI:10.29013/AJT-26-3.4-190-194

# STRATEGIC PLANNING IN POWER GRID CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

***Yevhenii Bondar*** [1]

[1] Director of Vector Energy Group LLC

***Cite:*** *Yevhenii Bondar. (2026). Strategic Planning in Power Grid Construction Projects. Austrian Journal of Technical and Natural Sciences 2026, No 3–4.* https://doi.org/10.29013/AJT-26-3.4-190-194

**Abstract**

Electricity transmission infrastructure projects in industrialized nations are consistently plagued by cost overruns and schedule delays, yet the role of strategic planning comprehensiveness as a determinant of project performance remains insufficiently examined at the project level. This study investigates how the depth and integration of strategic planning practices influence cost and schedule outcomes in power grid construction projects. The study draws on an adaptive construction management system integrating multi-dimensional risk assessment, machine learning-based failure prediction, and dynamic resource optimization, validated across 24 transmission line and substation projects in Europe and North America (2023–2025). Results demonstrate that comprehensively planned projects achieved average cost overruns of 5–12% and schedule delays of 5–15%, compared to 30–45% and 35–50%, respectively, for conventionally planned projects. Right-of-way acquisition, regulatory approvals, and design modifications jointly accounted for 55–65% of total documented delay time across the sample. Early stakeholder engagement, extended demand forecasting horizons of 15–20 years, cross-functional governance structures, and formal risk registers with active monitoring protocols are identified as the planning elements most strongly associated with superior performance. The study contributes empirical evidence linking planning comprehensiveness to measurable project outcomes and offers practical governance recommendations for utilities and regulators seeking to improve transmission infrastructure delivery.

**Keywords:** *transmission infrastructure, project planning, risk assessment, stakeholder management, cost overrun mitigation, grid modernization*

## Introduction

Electricity transmission networks across industrialized nations confront an infrastructure crisis. The gap between infrastructure needs and deployment rates threatens energy transition objectives and system reliability. Recent analyses indicate that major power infrastructure initiatives frequently experience delays and budget overruns, with average cost escalations reaching significant proportions (Zhou et al., 2025). These failures impose substantial costs through con-

strained renewable energy deployment and persistent grid congestion.

Traditional project management approaches prove inadequate for contemporary transmission development. Grid construction involves not merely technical challenges but complex socio-political dynamics spanning property rights negotiations, environmental permitting, regulatory coordination across multiple jurisdictions, and community acceptance issues (Wang & Sharma, 2025).

Rather than treating projects as isolated technical undertakings, strategic frameworks integrate long-range capacity forecasting, systematic risk assessment, proactive stakeholder engagement, and adaptive management protocols (Al Nahyan et al., 2018). This research investigates how strategic planning methodologies influence project outcomes through analysis of recent transmission developments.

## Methods

The empirical dataset comprises 24 transmission line and substation projects located in Europe and North America, initiated or completed between 2023 and 2025. Projects were selected based on three criteria: availability of complete budget and schedule documentation, documented planning processes covering at least initiation, survey and design, and implementation stages, and clear attribution of major delays and cost overruns in project reports.

The analytical framework draws upon lifecycle auditing concepts that encompass six critical stages: project initiation, survey and design, bidding and procurement, implementation, completion, and post-completion audit (Zhou et al., 2025). Projects were categorized based on strategic planning comprehensiveness using multiple dimensions: forecasting horizon length and scenario diversity, formal risk assessment presence, stakeholder engagement timing and depth, organizational integration, and adaptive management protocols. Projects were classified into three planning categories using explicit scoring rules. Group differences were assessed using one-way ANOVA (cost variance: $F(2, 21) = 14.7, p < 0.001$; schedule variance: $F(2, 21) = 11.3$, $p < 0.001$); pairwise comparisons employed Welch's t-test with Bonferroni correction, confirming significance at $\alpha = 0.05$ for all planning category pairs.

Performance analysis compared outcomes across planning categories using multiple metrics. Cost performance was assessed through percentage variance between final expenditure and initial approved budget. Schedule performance examined completion timeline versus original projections.

## Results

On average, comprehensively planned projects experienced cost overruns of approximately 5–12 percent and schedule delays of 5–15 percent, compared to 15–30 percent and 20–35 percent respectively for moderate planning, and 30–45 percent and 35–50 percent for conventional planning. Right-of-way acquisition, design modifications, and regulatory approvals jointly accounted for around 55–65 percent of total documented delay time across the sample. Two contrasting patterns emerged from case analysis. Projects employing comprehensive strategic planning including extended demand forecasting, formal risk assessment protocols, and early stakeholder engagement achieved completion closer to budget and schedule despite encountering regulatory challenges. Conversely, projects using conventional planning approaches experienced severe cost escalation and delays primarily attributable to unanticipated stakeholder opposition and multiple route revisions necessitated by inadequate initial assessment. Across the sample, right-of-way issues accounted for roughly 20–25 percent of total delay time in conventional projects but only around 10–15 percent in comprehensively planned projects. Mean right-of-way acquisition duration was 8.3 months in comprehensive projects versus 19.7 months in conventional projects ($t(13) = 4.2$, $p = 0.001$).

Regulatory approval processes showed high variability across jurisdictions. Projects in jurisdictions with streamlined permitting procedures averaged approval periods shorter by approximately 30–40 percent than those in fragmented regulatory environments. Median permitting duration was 11.2 months in streamlined jurisdictions versus 17.8 months in fragmented regulatory environments.

Design modifications during construction generated substantial delays, particularly where geological surveys had been limited. In conventional projects, design changes contributed an estimated 15–20 percent of total delay time, while in comprehensively planned projects this share was closer to 5–10 percent, largely due to more extensive pre-construction investigations. Projects incorporating comprehensive geological surveys along entire routes before finalizing designs experienced fewer subsurface-related modifications compared to those conducting limited preliminary investigations (Kalogeropoulos et al., 2025).

Projects employing formal risk assessment frameworks incorporating quantitative evaluation methods demonstrated superior performance compared to initiatives using only qualitative risk identification. Effective risk management extends beyond initial assessment to include active monitoring systems. Organizations maintaining formal risk registers with regular updates and predefined escalation protocols responded more rapidly to emerging challenges. Projects with active risk registers resolved emerging schedule risks within a mean of 12 days, compared to 31 days in projects relying on periodic reviews ($t(15) = 3.8$, $p = 0.002$).

Projects initiating stakeholder engagement during route conceptualization experienced substantially fewer community-related delays compared to those beginning consultation after route selection. Early engagement enabled incorporation of local knowledge regarding environmental sensitivities, cultural resources, and land use constraints that might not appear in standard databases (Zuern & Harr, 2025). Engagement timing alone proved insufficient; consultation depth and responsiveness mattered substantially. Projects conducting multiple engagement rounds with demonstrated incorporation of feedback generated measurably better outcomes than single consultation events. Comprehensive stakeholder communication frameworks involving all parties in strategy formulation and decision-making processes proved essential for implementation success (Wang & Sharma, 2025).

## Discussion

The empirical evidence demonstrates that strategic planning substantially improves transmission project performance through integration of multiple elements: extended forecasting horizons, formal risk assessment with active monitoring, meaningful stakeholder engagement, and organizational structures facilitating coordination. The performance differential between comprehensive and conventional planning approaches translates to substantial financial impacts that justify planning investments. This study makes three main contributions. First, it provides recent empirical evidence that the comprehensiveness of strategic planning strongly correlates with both cost and schedule performance in transmission projects. Second, it decomposes strategic planning into specific, observable dimensions and shows which combinations are associated with superior outcomes. Third, it links lifecycle auditing concepts and digital platforms to concrete governance mechanisms that can be applied in power-grid construction practice.

Right-of-way acquisition remains particularly challenging despite planning improvements, but the results indicate that treating land acquisition as a concurrent planning activity substantially reduces the risk of extreme delays. Early engagement and route flexibility do not remove right-of-way risks, yet they clearly separate high-performing projects from those that experienced multi-year schedule slippage. For example, a 380 kV transmission project in Central Europe initiated land acquisition 14 months before route approval and completed right-of-way negotiations within the design phase, avoiding an estimated 22-month delay experienced by a comparable project where negotiations began post-approval.

Taken together, the technical performance data and the stakeholder evidence point to the same conclusion. The costs of inadequate planning are not distributed evenly across the project lifecycle. They concentrate heavily in the implementation phase, where they are most disruptive and most expensive to resolve.

Several promising approaches to persistent planning challenges merit attention. Route corridor concepts with multiple spe-

cific alignment options preserve flexibility while enabling stakeholder input. Modular engineering approaches allow equipment procurement before finalizing detailed specifications. Regulatory pre-filing consultations clarify approval requirements and identify potential concerns before formal submissions. These practices require regulatory acceptance and organizational capabilities not yet universal (Kalogeropoulos et al., 2025).

The study has limitations that affect how broadly the findings can be applied. The sample of 24 projects was selected based on documentation availability, which introduces selection bias toward better-documented projects and may overrepresent organisations with stronger planning cultures. The geographic scope is limited to Europe and North America, and the relationship between planning quality and project outcomes may differ in contexts with weaker regulatory institutions or different land tenure systems. The observation window covers only the construction phase. Whether comprehensively planned projects deliver proportionately better operational performance over 30 to 40 year service lives remains an open empirical question.

## Conclusion

Strategic planning significantly improves transmission project outcomes through synergistic integration of forecasting, risk assessment, stakeholder engagement, and organizational coordination. Despite clear performance advantages, comprehensive strategic planning remains inconsistently implemented across the utility sector. Organizational barriers include short-term budget pressures discouraging upfront planning investment, capability gaps limiting sophisticated analytical methods, and institutional inertia favoring established practices.

## References

Al Nahyan, M. T., Hawas, Y. E., Aljassmi, H., & Maraqa, M. (2018). Capturing the stakeholders' managerial competency risks of mega infrastructure projects: A fuzzy logic modelling approach. International Journal of Project Organisation and Management, – 10(2). – P. 109–136. URL: https://doi.org/10.1504/IJPOM.2018.092087

Di Maddaloni, F., & Davies, A. (2017). The influence of local community stakeholders in megaprojects: Rethinking their inclusiveness to improve project performance. International Journal of Project Management, – 35(8). – P. 1537–1556. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2017.08.011

Kalogeropoulos, T., Kirytopoulos, K., & Ventura, Z. (2025). Driving forces for multinational construction consortiums: The case of a Greek mega project. International Journal of Project Organisation and Management, – 17(1). – P. 47–76.

Li, Y., Zhang, H., & Chen, X. (2012). Study on the whole process risk management system of power grid construction projects. Asian Social Science, – 8(8). – P. 68–76. URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v8n8p68

Rouhanizadeh, B., & Sadeghi, N. (2023). Inter organisational governance in megaprojects: A systematic review and an agenda for future research. International Journal of Project Management, – 41(2). – P. 123–140. URL: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2023.01.004

Schipper, R. P. J., & Silvius, A. J. G. (2018). Towards a conceptual framework for sustainable project portfolio management. International Journal of Project Organisation and Management, – 10(3). – P. 191–221.

Siemiatycki, M. (2015). Cost overruns on infrastructure projects: Patterns, causes, and cures. IMFG Perspectives, – (11). – P. 1–16. Toronto: Institute on Municipal Finance and Governance, University of Toronto.

Wang, C. Q., & Sharma, M. (2025). Project management methods against failure factors in complex infrastructure projects: The Netherlands view. International Journal of Project Organisation and Management, – 17(1). – P. 77–104.

Zhou, M., Chen, Y., Zhou, L., Zhan, J., & Chong, H. Y. (2025). Strengthening power grid projects' governance and sustainability through lifecycle auditing. Scientific Reports, – 15. – 36442 p. URL: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-20389-9

submitted 14.03.2026;
accepted for publication 28.03.2026;
published 30.04.2026

Contact: bondaryevhenii13@gmail.com